

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,856 08/19/2003		Wolfgang Brauer	PO-7783/LeA 36,259	6309	
157 75	590 10/12/2004		EXAMINER		
BAYER MAT	TERIAL SCIENCE LLO	GORR, RACHEL F			
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TITISBURGII	, 1A 13203		1711		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	In	A	<u> </u>				
		Application N	io.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/643,856		BRAUER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this community of	Rachel F. Gor	r r	1711					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply				ss				
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the manned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PN. R 1.136(a). In no event, ho reply within the statutory riod will apply and will explication the conficulty.	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from th	ely filed will be considered timely. ne mailing date of this commu	inication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Transmission about 1945/point a servinguississes (\$100/	DECEMBER CONTENTS AND A COMPANIES OF CONTENTS AND A SUSCESSION OF CONTENTS AND A CONTENTS AND A CONTENTS AND A	paja pain internati afa khalisha damindaka namayan na aminya mpaga nagagan makanaga nagaga ka	And the same provided and the same same same same same same same sam				
		 This action is non-fi	inal						
3)				ecution as to the me	vrito io				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
_	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	1/or election requir	ement						
	ion Papers	, o	omoni.						
	•								
- الرو - الرو	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)∐ of	pjected to by the Ex	aminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
		Examiner. Note the	e attached Office A	ction or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) 	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 3/	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d	d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)∐ Some * c)⊡ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
* 0	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	-					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
ttachment(
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)								
) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	0-413)					
) 🔼 Informa	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date 8-19-03.	8) 5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Pater	nt Application (PTO-152)					
Patent and Trac	- 	6) [Other:	,					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/643,856

Art Unit: 1711

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Handlin.
- 3. Handlin discloses a process for making a thermoplastic polyurethane by forming a prepolymer from a difunctional poly(ethylene butylene)polyol of 3454 molecular weight and diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI) (col. 9, lines 41-44). He then adds polytetramethylene oxide polyol of 2000 molecular weight to this prepolymer at an NCO/OH index of about 2.3. He then chain extends the resulting product with a stoichiometric amount of butane diol. He shows tensile strengths (TS) in Table 2, and, in col. 7, lines 8-21, he shows chain extending in an extruder. He differs from the claims by not disclosing a continuous process.
- 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to carry out the process continuously because it's been held that continuous processes are obvious over batch processes (see MPEP2144.04-V-E).
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy.
- 6. Murphy discloses the same process for making a thermoplastic polyurethane by forming a first prepolymer from MDI and a polyester (example I). Then in a separate reactor he combines this prepolymer with butane diol having a molecular weight of 90.

Art Unit: 1711

In a third step, he cures, in a third reactor, with diethylene triamine (example II). Table 1 shows tensile property values. He differs from the claims by carrying out his process in batches.

- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to carry out the process of Murphy continuously because continuous processes are obvious over batch processes.
- 8. Claims 1,2,4 and 5 agre rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asahi Chem.
- 9. The example of the Asahi reference of page 149, starting at the middle of the first column, forms a thermoplastic polyurethane by first reacting 1.6 moles of MDI with 0.5 moles polytetramethylene glycol having a molecular weight of 1500. The resulting prepolymer is further reacted with 0.5 mole of a polyethylenebutylene adipate of 2000 molecular weight. He then reacts the resulting prepolymer with 0.558 moles of ethylene diamine and 0.042 moles of diethyl amine. The Ashai reference differs form the claims by not showing a continuous process.
- 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to carry out this process continuously because continuous processes are obvious over non-continuous ones.
 - 11. Claim 6 is objected to for depending on a rejected claim.
- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The other references listed on PTO 892 are those cited on the search report.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,856

Art Unit: 1711

Page 4

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 571-272-1072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R.G. October 1, 2004

RACHEL GORR
PRIMARY EXAMINER